## **Tesla Jeltema**

#### Assistant Professor, Department of Physics

## Observational Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics









#### **Research Program**

Research theme: using the evolution of large-scale structure to reveal the fundamental nature of the universe

**Topics including:** 

- Cosmology
- Indirect Dark Matter Detection
- Galaxy Evolution



# **Cosmology with Galaxy Clusters**



#### **Clusters of Galaxies**

Clusters represent the high-density tail of initial perturbations and have only recently collapsed

> Masses around  $10^{15}$  M<sub>o</sub>, of which ~ 2% in stars, ~ 13% in hot gas, ~ 85% in dark matter



Image credit D. Nagai



Springel et al. 2004

## **Cosmology with Clusters**

Clusters offer two methods to constrain cosmology:

#### 1. A growth of structure test

The evolution in cluster number density with redshift constrains the amplitude of density fluctuations and the dark matter and dark energy densities.

#### 2. A geometric test

The fraction of cluster mass in baryons is constant with redshift, giving a standard ruler which constrains the dark matter and dark energy densities.

## **Example of Current Constraints**



Vikhlinin et al. 2009

#### A Bright Future: Large Surveys

Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect: SPT, ACT, Planck

- inverse Compton scattering of CMB off hot ICM
- roughly redshift independent

X-ray: eROSITA (all sky), ATHENA (?)

- thermal bremsstrahlung from hot gas

Optical: DES, LSST

(plus spectroscopic like BigBOSS)

- distribution of galaxies
- weak lensing



### A Bright Future





Multiwavelength follow-up and cosmological simulations

good control of systematics, selection

e.g. Enzo simulations, joint Chandra and CHFT weak lensing, X-ray and Keck follow-up of DES

### The Dark Energy Survey

Multiband (grizY) optical imaging survey of 5000 deg<sup>2</sup> of the southern sky using the Blanco 4-m at CTIO.

> DES will detect ~150,000 clusters to  $z \sim 1.5$ .

I am primarily involved in the DES cluster survey including preparations for survey start.





# Cosmology with the Dark Energy Survey

#### Four ways to constrain cosmology:

- Clusters of Galaxies
- Gravitational Lensing
- Baryon Acoustic Oscillations
- > Supernovae



Will give a factor of 5 improvement in the Dark Energy Task Force figure of merit.

## **DES** Timeline

First light September 12, 2012!

Now in science verification phase.

Survey start in September!

➤ The full survey will run for 5 years. The first year of data will include some areas to full depth allowing early science.





## Cosmology with DES Clusters

#### Constraints on dark energy:

The number of clusters which form depends on the balance between gravity and dark energy (also effects volume).

$$\frac{d^2 N(z)}{dz d\Omega} = \frac{c}{H(z)} D_A^2 (1+z)^2 \int_0^\infty f(M,z) \frac{dn(z)}{dM} dM$$

hard part: understanding the relationship between observables and cluster mass



#### **DES Cluster Mass Calibration**

Calibrate optical richness (DES observable) with:

Simulations, self-calibration, and weak lensing from DES alone

Overlapping surveys: SPT (SZ) and eROSITA (X-ray)

Dedicated follow-up of relatively small sub-samples (100-1000 clusters) with current telescopes

- X-ray follow-up with Chandra and XMM
- spectroscopic follow-up with Keck

#### Multiwavelength Cluster Observations

Relatively small follow-up programs giving a low scatter observable can give a factor of ~ 2 improvement in DETF FoM from DES alone.

scatter in richness-mass relation

~ 30%

scatter for X-ray, SZ observables ~ 7-10%



Wu, Rozo, & Wechsler 2010

# **Indirect Detection of Dark Matter**



#### **Observing Dark Matter**

Dark matter can annihilate or decay to Standard Model particles potentially giving observables signatures.

Dark matter annihilation/decay can lead to a broad spectrum of emission.

Gamma-ray observations are placing strong constraints on particle physics models



Example spectrum of DM annihilation in the Coma cluster (Colafrancesco et al. 2006)

## Gamma Rays from Dark Matter Annihilation



Secondary gamma rays from  $\pi_0$  decays

## Gamma Rays from Dark Matter Annihilation



bremsstrahlung (final state radiation)

Lepton pair production

("leptophilic", not typical for neutralino annihilation, but popular as an explanation of the PAMELA positron excess)

## Dark Matter Searches with Fermi

Dwarf spheroidal galaxies give strong constraints on dark matter annihilation.

**Clusters of galaxies** constrain:

- dark matter decay
- leptophilic dark matter when IC emission dominate (models fitting the PAMELA positron excess)

Strong constraints also from Fermi observations of the Milky Way halo and the extragalactic gamma-ray background.



Wolf et al. 2009

# Dark Matter Annihilation Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies







Abdo et al. 2010

Ackermann et al. 2011

## Constraining Dark Matter with Clusters of Galaxies



## Constraining Dark Matter with Clusters of Galaxies



## **Current Fermi Work**

#### Stacking of Fermi observations of clusters (with student E. Storm and other collaboration members)



Bayesian analysis of dark matter annihilation at the Galactic Center with Fermi-LAT

## **Multiwavelength Dark Matter Detection**

#### Radio:

 $\succ$  e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup> produced in DM annihilation/decay would lead to synchrotron emission in cluster B-fields.

The non-detection of radio emission from nearby clusters places stronger limits than gamma-ray.

Storm, Jeltema, Profumo, & Rudnick 2012



### **Multiwavelength Dark Matter Detection**

#### X-ray:

➢ For many DM models, IC emission from the scattering of the CMB by e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup> produced in DM annihilation/decay peaks in the hard X-ray band.

#### Jeltema & Profumo 2012



#### **Cosmic Rays in Clusters**

Accelerated in accretion/merger shocks, AGN, and SNe

Radio synchrotron emission from CR electrons in the cluster magnetic field observed on Mpc scales!

Gamma ray emission

- CR proton collisions with ICM
- IC scattering by CR electrons

Constrain the CR density and origin of the radio emission using gamma-ray observations (Jeltema & Profumo 2011) and simulations (Hallman & Jeltema 2011).

