DEEP-Theory Meeting 28 April 2017

GALFIT and clump analysis of VELA simulations and comparison with observations — VivianTang, Yicheng Guo, David Koo — new paper by Yicheng Guo on clump properties with disk subtraction

Deep Learning for Galaxies project: Analysis of VELA Gen3 simulations is ongoing by Christoph Lee and Sean Larkin, along with Avishai's student Tomer Nussbaum: finding all satellites. Christoph is also using the DL code that classified CANDELS images to classify VELA mock galaxy images. Fernando Caro is analyzing Horizon simulations. Elliot Eckholm will help visualize the VELA simulations with yt in 3DVizlab.

Deep Learning for Redshifts project: James Kakos and Dominic Pasquale plan to use DL for a project to improve z and local environment estimates for galaxies with only photometric redshifts

Galaxy R_{eff} predicted by (spin parameter)(halo radius) = λR_{halo} paper led by Rachel Somerville — after correcting h⁻¹ error, the offset between $R_{3D}/(\lambda R_{halo})$ at $z \sim 0$ and higher z has disappeared

Galaxy size vs. local density project — Christoph Lee, Graham Vanbenthuysen, Viraj Pandya, Doug Hellinger, Aldo Rodriguez-Puebla, David Koo — Huertas-Company+13 found no difference vs. density, and Cebrian&Trujillo2017 find, if anything, galaxies in low-density regions are larger — we are measuring λ vs. density by various methods in Aldo's mock catalogs from Bolshoi-Planck and MultiDark-Planck

Halo properties like concentration, accretion history, and spin are mainly determined by environmental density rather than by location within the cosmic web — Tze Goh, Christoph Lee, Peter Behroozi, Doug Hellinger, Miguel Aragon Calvo, Elliot Eckholm

DM halo mass loss and **halo radial profile** papers being drafted — Christoph Lee, Doug Hellinger

Improved Santa Cruz Semi-Analytic Model of galaxy population evolution, including insights from high-resolution hydro simulations — Viraj Pandya, Christoph Lee, Rachel Somerville, Sandy Faber

GALFIT and clump analysis of VELA simulations and comparison with observations — VivianTang, Yicheng Guo, David Koo — new paper by Yicheng Guo on clump properties with disk subtraction

CLUMPY GALAXIES IN CANDELS. II. A CATALOG OF UV-BRIGHT CLUMPS AT $0.5 \leq Z < 3$

Dre

Giant star-forming clumps in distant galax formation and evolution. At present, however, the observed "clumps" are the same phenom aiming at the consensus, we present a sample terminology of clumps in the literature. The in our previous paper. The physical properti formation rate (SFR), age, and dust extincti energy distribution (SED) to synthetic stellar p clump properties, especially the method of "disk") of galaxies. We show a few example of interest to most readers. We find a radial redder than those in outskirts. The slope of the distance scaled by the semi-major axis of gala M_* , the slope becomes steeper toward low red our SED-fitting, this observed color gradient negative E(B-V) gradient, and a positive speci





FIG. 1.— Illustration of "disk" light subtraction. A galaxy (Galaxy ID = 25508 in the catalog) is shown in ACS F606W (top left), smoothed ACS F606W to match the resolution of WFC3 F160W (top right), WFC3 F160W (bottom left), and a mask image (bottom right). Four clumps are detected in this galaxy as shown by the green circles with radius of 3 pixels in each panel. In the mask image (bottom right), the area within 4 pixels of the center of each clump is masked out (i.e., black pixels in the panel). These pixels are not used in calculating the "disk" background. The pixels outside the galaxy are also masked out, because they are out of the SExtractor segmentation map of the galaxy. For one clump (Clump ID = 4 in the catalog), we show the annulus used as our fiducial method (aperi_v3 in Table 1) to measure the "disk" surface brightness. The annulus (between two purple circles) has the inner and outer radii of 4 and 6 pixels. Only the white pixels (i.e., those not masked out due to clump locations) between the two purple circles are used to calculate the "disk" surface brightness.

GALFIT and clump analysis of VELA simulations and comparison with observations — VivianTang, Yicheng Guo, David Koo — new paper by Yicheng Guo on clump properties with disk subtraction



An important observation is that the clump gradients are steeper than those of the underlying "disk" at z < 2, so the clump gradients cannot be attributed to the "disk"

Yicheng's next clump paper will analyze the mock galaxy images from the VELA gen3 simulations

☆ Elizabeth McGrath <emcgrath@colby.edu>

Re: Your NASA Identity has been enabled

To: Joel Primack <joel@ucsc.edu>

EM

Hi Joel,

Thanks! It's been a crazy semester, but I will have some time in a few weeks to start running our analysis pipeline. I'm definitely interested in re-creating the Mozena-style plots. My student from a few years ago, Ian Tibbetts, made this very easy in the code he wrote for me, so it should be straight forward to do. Hopefully we'll have some results to share by early June. I will keep you posted, but feel free to bug me if I've been silent for too long.

Liz

Elizabeth J. McGrath Clare Boothe Luce Assistant Professor Physics and Astronomy Colby College

office: Mudd 403 email: emcgrath@colby.edu phone (office): 207-859-5861 phone (cell): 510-457-8472

web: www.colby.edu/physics/faculty/mcgrath/





Figure 2.11: Clumpy Star-Forming GOODS-South Galaxies. 30% of $z\sim2$ star-forming galaxies are clumpy. These clumpy systems tend to have larger R_{eff} and lower Sérsic indices.

Deep Learning for Galaxies project: Analysis of VELA Gen3 simulations is ongoing by Christoph Lee and Sean Larkin, along with Avishai's student Tomer Nussbaum: finding all satellites. Christoph is also using the DL code that classified CANDELS images to classify VELA mock galaxy images. Fernando Caro is analyzing Horizon simulations. Elliot Eckholm will help visualize the VELA simulations with yt in 3DVizlab.

Hi Joel,		Tomer Nussbaum	March 28, 2017
Due to severe problems in our clus	ster, The detailed catalog, did not yet finis	sh it's run.	
So meanwhile I'm sending you our	basic catalogs, that can be found here.		
The folder includes:		https://drive.google.com/drive	/folders/0B_hm4xnl_rqTaWtrQjNyc0ZHUFU?usp=sharing
- sim_table.pkl	- Simulation details		
- cen_gal_cat.pkl	- Central galaxies details and quantities	S	
- sat_gal_table.pkl	- Satellite galaxies details and quantitie	es	
 tgal_tmp_thick_table.pkl 	- Thick galaxies follow up through time)	
- cat_use_examples-Primack.ipynt	o - usage explanations and examples for	r the right usage	

I'm also adding part of detailed satellite catalog (which is still running) so you could see what we are aiming to. gal_R_attribute_cat.pkl - corrected radiuses for the satellites (instead of the ZAnaPack radii) with many quantities.

ZAnaPack by Dylan Tweed

This is an analysis pipeline for Zoom-in cosmological simulations, run with ART-I (Hydro ART, or HART. It is based of the detection of the main galaxy (the target of the zoom-in simulation), and the tracing of all its progenitors at all snapshot. This pipeline is especially useful to find and trace the main galaxy across snapshot in a large cosmological volume. The detection of the galaxy is done through a group-finder AdaptaHOP in HaloMaker [Aubert et al., 2004], [Tweed et al., 2009]. The tracing is done using the stellar particle, by using a tree-builder algorithm TreeMaker.

We need to track and characterize the entire satellite population in the VELA gen3 simulations. We want to find all mergers and also pass-by events. Separately, we also need to find counter-rotating gas inflows

Deep Learning for Redshifts project: James Kakos and Dominic Pasqua improve z and local environment estimates for galaxies with only photomet

Page 9 of 14

Example of improving photo-z's using spec-z's from Tejos, Rodriguez-Puebla, Primack preprints we explore how SORT performs



contains more information than a less peaked one, and that having a distribution like $\Delta z|_{\text{sort}}$ is indeed an improvement over $\Delta z|_{\text{ph}}$.

This fact can be partially appreciated by our previous comparison of the overall redshift distributions (see Figure 2, Section 4.2). We can observe that the original photometric sample not only loses information regarding the positions of the large-scale structure (i.e. peaks and valleys) but also produces biases in the expected number of galaxies as a function of redshift.

Another way to look at this issue is by quantifying the $\Delta z|_{\rm obs} \equiv z_{\rm obs} - z_{\rm true}$ as a function of $z_{\rm obs}$. In Figure 5 we show the mean of $\Delta z|_{\rm obs}$ as a function of $z_{\rm obs} = z_{\rm ph}$ (left panel) and $z_{\rm obs} = z_{\rm sort}$ (right panel). We observe that indeed the photometric sample is highly biased towards negative Δz values at the lowest redshift bins and somewhat biased towards positive Δz values at the highest redshift bins. In contrast, $z_{\rm sort}$ are virtually unbiased across the full redshift range which is clearly an improvement.

But this and other methods are certainly not optimal.

4.3.1 Three-dimensional spatial distributions

Figure 6 shows a subvolume of the mock survey, where the redshifts come from our different estimates: $z_{\rm ph}$ (top left panel), $z_{\rm sp}$ (top right panel), z_{sort} (bottom left panel) and z_{true} (bottom right panel). Unsurprisingly, the original photometric sample does not provide a good description of the cosmic web. On the other hand, our SORT method is able to reproduce most of the significant largescale-structures in the volume, including voids, dense filaments, groups and clusters (compare the two bottom panels to each other). Part of this success is due to the chosen parameters for applying the method (i.e. those relevant for the cosmic web scales; see Section 4.1) in combination with having a representative reference sample (i.e., z_{sp} ; see the top right panel of Figure 6). The recovered distribution is not perfect however and some noticeable artefacts exist. For example, the z_{sort} cosmic walls are thicker and the voids less empty than for $z_{\rm true}$. These should in principle be overcome by fine-tuning of the parameters for applying the method (specially A and δz ; see Section 4.1). Although the cosmic web seems to be reasonably well recovered as a whole, we also emphasize that there will be some individual galaxies assigned to the wrong structures along the line-of-sight, i.e. those contributing to the tails of the Δz distribution (see Section 4.3). Still, the fact that z_{sort} provides a considerable fraction of galaxies assigned to the *right* structures along the line-of-sight (i.e. the narrow peak in Δz distribution), makes this SORT method promising for further studies aimed to quantify the three-dimensional distribution of the cosmic web and cosmological environment of galaxies using photometric redshifts.

2.7 Two maint convolution functions



12

18

Figure 7. The ratio between the measured reash lation function and its underlying true value, $\xi(s)$ of redshift space distance s. The black circles c and the red squares correspond to using z_{AG} (s) for clarity). Uncertainties were estimated from a 100 realizations. The grey shaded area correspon certainty limit due to sample variance (i.e. this is we knew the underlying true redshift for 49 th photometric sample). The light-blue area correspon around the unbiased z_{sp} measurement from the the remaining 30% of galaxies used as reference further details.

53

54

55

56

and the red squares correspond to using 57_{ph} . timated with a bootstrap technique from 800 shaded area corresponds to the intrinsiger sample variance (i.e. this is the uncertion y underlying true redshift for all the galaxies metric sample). The light-blue area corresp tainty around the unbiased measurement u sample (i.e. the remaining 30% of galaxies Section 3). This figure demonstrates that z_{so}

true 2PCF on scales $\gtrsim 4 h^{-1}$ Mpc in a somewhat unbiased manner at a higher statistical precision than that of the reference spectroscopic sample. At smaller than $\approx 4 h^{-1}$ Mpc scales however, $z_{\rm sort}$ is not able to recover the 2PCF power; these scales are comparable

Another way to look at this issue is by quantifying the $\Delta z|_{\rm obs} \equiv z_{\rm obs} - z_{\rm true}$ as a function of $z_{\rm obs}$. In Figure 5 we show the mean of $\Delta z|_{\rm obs}$ as a function of $z_{\rm obs} = z_{\rm ph}$ (left panel) and $z_{\rm obs} = z_{\rm sort}$ (right panel). We observe that indeed the photometric sample is highly biased towards negative Δz values at the lowest redshift bins and somewhat biased towards positive Δz values at the highest redshift bins. In contrast, $z_{\rm sort}$ are virtually unbiased across the full redshift range which is clearly an improvement.

at retrieving the three-dimensional large-scale structure distribution as well as the two-point autocorrelation function.

4.3.1 Three-dimensional spatial distributions

Figure 6 shows a subvolume of the mock survey, where the redshifts come from our different estimates: $z_{\rm ph}$ (top left panel), $z_{\rm sp}$ (top right panel), z_{sort} (bottom left panel) and z_{true} (bottom right panel). Unsurprisingly, the original photometric sample does not provide a good description of the cosmic web. On the other hand, our SORT method is able to reproduce most of the significant largescale-structures in the volume, including voids, dense filaments, groups and clusters (compare the two bottom panels to each other). Part of this success is due to the chosen parameters for applying the method (i.e. those relevant for the cosmic web scales; see Section 4.1) in combination with having a representative reference sample (i.e., z_{sp} ; see the top right panel of Figure 6). The recovered distribution is not perfect however and some noticeable artefacts exist. For example, the z_{sort} cosmic walls are thicker and the voids less empty than for z_{true} . These should in principle be overcome by fine-tuning of the parameters for applying the method (specially A and δz ; see Section 4.1). Although the cosmic web seems to be transfably well recovered as a *whole*, we also emphasize that there will be some individual galaxies assigned to the wrong structures along the line-of-sight, i.e. those contributing to the tails of the Δz distribution (see Section 4.3). Still, the fact that z_{sort} provides a considerable fraction of galaxies assigned to the right structures along the line-of-sight (i.e. the narrow peak in Δz distribution), makes this SORT method promising for further studies aimed to quantify the three-dimensional distribution of the cosmic web and cosmological environment of galaxies using photometric redshifts.

4.3.2 Two-point correlation functions

Although the two-point correlation function (2PCF) is not enough to describe the full three-dimensional spatial distribution, it is a well defined and simple statistical measurement that contains relevant information regarding spatial clustering. As such, it has been applied to a wide variety of extragalactic questions not just related to the large-scale structure but also to galaxy evolution and cosmology.

Figure 7 shows the ratio between the measured redshift space 2PCF and its true underlying value, $\xi_{true}(s)$, as a function of redshift space distance s. The black circles correspond to using z_{sort}

© 2017 RAS, MNRAS 000, 1-14

scb/The-wiZZ/.

Galaxy R_{eff} predicted by (spin parameter)(halo radius) = λR_{halo} paper led by Rachel Somerville — after correcting h⁻¹ error, the offset between $R_{3D}/(\lambda R_{halo})$ at $z \sim 0$ and higher z has disappeared



r_*, 30 - 0.283 edie, obscy for clisks led by the median value of the spin parameter times the halo virial radius, in bins of stellar mass ratez obsci. Left paper New results using B17 SMHM relation. Open circles are based on the GAMA DR2 catalogs and are for the observed (projected) r-band half-light radius re. The dashed vertical line shows the 97.7% stellar mass completeness limit for the GAMA sample. Gray star symbols show the same quantity for the estimated 3D half-stellar mass radius (r* 3D). Crosses show the observed (projected) SRHRλ where no scatter is included in the SMHM
 Galaxy of eff predicted royalt (spin parameter) (nace of random of random of the stellar mass radius (r* 3D). Crosses show the observed (projected) SRHRλ where no scatter is included in the SMHM

Galaxy, Reff predicted by (spin parameter) (halo radius) at A Rhalo paper led by Rachel Somerville — after correcting h⁻¹ error destructed by Reference and did error of the scatter from stellar mass errors. 3D/(ARhalo) at Z ~ 0 and higher Z has disappeared





Corrected Plot (on left) for z~0.1 from Rachel Somerville for paper on galaxy size-mass

Figure 2. Median galaxy radius divided by the median value of the spin parameter times the halo virial radius, in bins of stellar mass, at $z \sim 0.1$. Left panel: New results us a B17 SMHM relation. Open circles are based on the GAMA DR2 catalogs and are for the observed (projected) r-band half-light radius r_e . The dashed vertical line shows the 97.7% stellar mass peeples spin mit for the GAMA sample. Gray star symbols show the same quantity for the estimated 3D half-stellar mass radius ($r_{*,3D}$). Crosses show the observed (projected) SRHR λ where no scatter is included in the SMHM relation and diamonds show results with a reduced intrinsic scatter of $\partial_{int} = 0.16$ dex instead of the fiducial value of 0.22 dex. Right panel: plot from submitted paper, which used B13 with an intrinsic scatter of 0.15 dex and did not include scatter from stellar mass errors. Time (Gyr)

1.0	The right figure was Fig 5 in the submitted Fig 5 in the submitted Og (M*/M)=10 version here's the caption
0.8	-

4

Figure 5. Median radius divided by the median value of the spin parameter times the halo virial radius, in bins of stellar mass, at $z \sim 0.1$. Open circles are based on the GAMA DR2 catalogs and are for the observed (projected) r-band half-light radius r_e . The dashed vertical line shows the 97.7% stellar mass compl pleteness limit for the GAMA sample. Gray star symbols show the same quantity for the estimated 3D half-stellar mass radius ($r_{*,3D}$). It is striking that the ratio between galaxy size and halo size remains so nearly constant over a wide range in stellar mass. 0.8 **Galaxy size vs. local density project** — Christoph Lee, Graham Vanbenthuysen, Viraj Pandya, Doug Hellinger, Aldo Rodriguez-Puebla, David Koo — Huertas-Company+13 found no difference vs. density, and Cebrian & Trujillo2017 find, if anything, galaxies in low-density regions are larger. We are measuring λ vs. density by various methods in Aldo's mock catalogs from Bolshoi-Planck and MultiDark-Planck.

The effect of the environment on the stellar mass-size relationship for present-day galaxies



Figure 6. The stellar mass–size relationships and their differences for different environments and morphologies. Upper panels show the overall distributions for discy and spheroid-like objects as a shaded surface. Over-plotted on these distributions are the mean size of the galaxies in the 10 per cent lowest-density (blue filled circles) and the 10 per cent highest-density (red filled triangles) regions. Lower panels show the ratio between the mean sizes in the most underdense and overdense samples (error bars represent 1σ errors). The green dashed line is a fit to all the distribution of points and indicates the robust mean,¹ with the 1σ error represented as the green shaded area.

Galaxy size vs. local density project — Christoph Lee, Graham Vanbenthuysen, Viraj Pandya, Doug Hellinger, Aldo Rodriguez-Puebla, David Koo — Huertas-Company+13 found no difference vs. density, and Cebrian & Trujillo2017 find, if anything, galaxies in low-density regions are larger. We are measuring λ vs. density by various methods in Aldo's mock catalogs from Bolshoi-Planck and MultiDark-Planck.

JP

From: Joel Primack joel@ucsc.edu

Subject: measuring halo environmental density for galaxy size test

Date: April 19, 2017 at 4:21 PM

To: Aldo Rodriguez rodriguez.puebla@gmail.com

Cc: Christoph Lee christoph28@gmail.com, Graham Vanbenthuysen gvanbent@ucsc.edu, Douglas Hellinger hellinger.doug@gmail.com

Dear Aldo,

As I summarized in pp. 6-7 of my slides for the DEEP-Theory meeting on April 14 (attached), Kravtsov 2013 showed that galaxy 3D half-light radii R are consistent with $R = C \lambda R_halo$, where λ is the median spin parameter and $C \approx 0.45$, and the (revised) Somerville et al. CANDELS paper shows that this remains true (with $C \approx 0.50$) out to $z \sim 3$. The dispersion in galaxy sizes is also consistent with the dispersion in λ . But we don't actually know whether galaxy size is actually related to λ , since all the data actually shows is that galaxy size R scales as a constant times R_halo. But Christoph's paper's result that λ is smaller for halos in low-density environments gives a way to see whether R scales with λ (or at least a halo property that also is smaller in low-density environments like the NFW scale radius R_s).

There are several methods for measuring the density around galaxies that we plan to use especially in low-density environments:

a) counting galaxies above a certain stellar mass or luminosity out to a given radius (in redshift space)

b) measuring the distance to the n-th nearest galaxy (Michael Cooper has given us his table of the distance to the 7th nearest SDSS galaxy)

c) using VIDE (P. Sutter et al. 2015) to find the volume of the Voronoi tessellation around each galaxy

- d) using the DTFE method (Cautun & van de Weygaert 2011)
- e) using the radial profile of each void in the Sutter et al. SDSS void catalog

Doug Hellinger suggests that we start with c).

For each of these methods, we will need to calibrate the measurement by doing the same measurement on a mock galaxy catalog constructed from Bolshoi-Planck or Small MultiDark-Planck simulations. For the galaxy size test we are interested in measuring the value of the Bullock (and maybe also Peebles) spin parameter as a function of each density measure around halos. We also need such catalogs for Radu's project to measure the density dependence of abundance matching for luminosity and stellar mass. So could you please construct such catalogs in redshift space (choosing one or a few locations for the origin) and make them available on the Hyades system?

Christoph's paper measured spin and other parameters only for distinct halos (i.e., not subhalos), so the prediction that median spin λ is smaller for halos in low density environments implies that central galaxies will be smaller if size scales as λ R_halo, but not satellite galaxies. So for SDSS I guess we should use the Yang catalog to tell whether a galaxy is a central or satellite, and in using your filled-halo catalog we will need to keep track of whether a galaxy is a central or satellite.

Peter Behroozi suggested that we confine our analyses of SDSS volume-limited to z < 0.06, which should be complete for M* > 10/{10} Msun (most of which will be centrals, especially in low-density regions). We will no doubt also want to study volume-limited SDSS catalogs at smaller redshifts, e.g. z < 0.04, so that we will have more low-mass galaxies (there will be more of these in low-density regions). Once we start to see the size of the signal, we can decide how much effort to put into this.

From: Aldo Rodriguez rodriguez.puebla@gmail.com 🖉

Subject: galaxy mocks

- Date: April 27, 2017 at 9:55 AM
 - To: Joel Primack joel@ucsc.edu, Christoph Lee christoph28@gmail.com, Graham Vanbenthuysen gvanbent@ucsc.edu, Doug Hellinger hellinger.doug@gmail.com, Viraj Pandya viraj.pandya@ucsc.edu

Dear All,

Here are the mocks that you requested. I did two observers with different positions for the BolshoiP and for the SMDPL. Therefore you have 4 galaxy surveys to have fun! Only halos with ~100 particles have stellar masses, note that stellar masses are differente in every catalog as well based on our stellar-to-halo mass relations. As for the stellar masses I used Mvir for distinct halos and Mpeak for subhalos. For the BP I used the 1.00231 snapshot and for the SMDPL the 1.0000 snapshot. The columns are

(1): ID of halo

(2): Stellar mass in units of h^{-2} Msun (for consistency with the units of simulations)

(3): redshift

(4): stellar mass completeness. See my discussion below

The attached figure illustrates how the apparent magnitude limit of the SDSS results in a stellar mass limit that depends on both **redshift and color**. I did various color selection so you appreciate the main effect. Also, I plotted the stellar mass limits for the red sequence and for the blue cloud, so now you can appreciate depending on the color of you galaxies the completeness limits are different. For the project the red line results in volumes that are complete in stellar mass and unbiased in color properties and therefore SFRs and sizes. In column 4 all galaxies above the red line have a value of 1 else 0.

The last step would be to construct volume-complete samples. That is easy, for a given stellar mass calculate the maximum redshift for galaxies that complete in mass and all galaxies above that mass and below that redshift will result in a volume-complete sample that is complete in stellar mass. I'm attaching a table so you could do check that easily. In that table:

Col1: Stellar mass in units of h^{-2} Msun Col2: z_max red galaxies Col3: z_max blue galaxies.

Let me know if you have more comments or you find something odd about the mock surveys.

Cheers! Aldo

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/12411579/mocks/z_0.0_BPL_center.tar.gz

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/12411579/mocks/z_0.0_BPL_origin.tar.gz

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/12411579/mocks/z_0.0_SMDPL_center.tar.gz

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/12411579/mocks/z_0.0_SMDPL_origin.tar.gz



Halo properties like concentration, accretion history, and spin are mainly determined by environmental density rather than by location within the cosmic web — Tze Goh, Christoph Lee, Peter Behroozi, Doug Hellinger, Miguel Aragon Calvo, Elliot Eckholm



Histogram of the SpinB

Histogram distribution of SpinB of all Halos with various density ranges in various-sized walls.

