### Physics 129 LECTURE 9 February 4, 2014

- Introduction to Cosmology
  - The Expanding Universe
  - The Friedmann Equation
  - The Age of the Universe
  - Cosmological Parameters
  - History of Cosmic Expansion
  - The Benchmark Model
  - The Backward Lightcone
  - Cosmic Particle and Event Horizons
  - Distances in the Expanding Universe
  - Ned Wright's Cosmology Calculator

The in-class open-book Midterm Exam will be Thursday February 13.

Prof/ta Course Cour note	Primack, J. Physics 129 PHYS 129 Nuclear and I Winter 2014	Reserve Books for Physics 129		
		Materi	als for this course	
Title	•	Author	Call #	
Astrophysics in a n Maoz	nutshell / Dan	Maoz, Dan	Reserves S&E Desk QB461 .M32 2007 NOT CHECKD OUT	24 Hours
Cosmology : the so universe / Edward	cience of the Harrison	Harrison, Edward Robert	Reserves S&E Desk QB981 .H32 2000 NOT CHECKD OUT	24 Hours
The early universe Kolb, Michael S. Tu	/ Edward W. urner.	Kolb, Edward W.	Reserves S&E Desk QB981.K687 1990 NOT CHECKD OUT	24 Hours
Introduction to cos Barbara Ryden	mology /	Ryden, Barbara Sue	Reserves S&E Desk QB981 .R93 2003 NOT CHECKD OUT	24 Hours
Modern cosmology Dodelson	/ Scott	Dodelson, Scott	Reserves S&E Desk QB981 .D634 2003 NOT CHECKD OUT	24 Hours
The physical unive introduction to astr H. Shu.	rse : an ronomy / Frank	Shu, Frank H.	Reserves S&E Desk QB43.2.S54 1982 c.2 NOT CHECKD OUT	24 Hours
The physical unive introduction to astr H. Shu.	rse : an ronomy / Frank	Shu, Frank H.	Reserves S&E Desk QB43.2.S54 1982 c.3 NOT CHECKD OUT	24 Hours
The view from the o universe : discover extraordinary place / by Joel R. Primac Ellen Abrams	center of the ing our in the cosmos k and Nancy	Primack, J. R. (Joel R.)	Reserves S&E Desk QB981 .P85 2006 NOT CHECKD OUT	24 Hours

s will lead to tight constraints favouring  $\Omega_K = 0$ and data and the state parameter, w =

<sup>3</sup>We note that we choose to use the (3) BOG I data combined (1) in the orker incode

Sect. 6. This choice includes the two most accu-

# **Expanding Universe**

s are widely separated, it should be avery good covered the expansion of the universe by discovering a linear relation <sup>n to neglect forrelations the expansion velocity v of a galaxy and its distance D:</sup>

oble constant  $212 \pm 50$ 

 $v = H_0 D$ 

with and the fits of the base ACDM model to Planck on the proportionality H<sub>0</sub>, called the Hubble constant or Hubble parameter, has ained by CMB data alone in this model. From the Perkins)  $H_0 = 72 \pm 3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ 

50259 Actual 36%, 50%, 50%, 50%, 150%, 14%, 14%, 14%, 10%, direct data is 67.80 ther  $0.75^{7}$  experiand the actual recession velocity of a galaxy is the sum of its expansion notably well the recent and the sum of its expansion with the recent and the sum of its expansion with the recent and the sum of its expansion with the recent and the recent

round 2,20,5 mg s() Mpc<sup>-1</sup> (68%2,9W7/14f-95, () (52)

Sured in Eq. (51) to within 
$$1\sigma$$
. We emphasize here that

data,

 $z = (\lambda_0 - \lambda_e)/\lambda_e$ minimized 824  $0.823 \pm 0.070$  $\lambda_{lanck}$  where  $\lambda_e$  and  $\lambda_o$  are the emitted and ccls0000served wavelengths. Measuring galaxy in the redshifts is easy, but measuring their  $0.639 \pm 0.081$  Milton Humason and 0.00 there had measured a number of galaxy redshifts, but Hubble figured out how to 1.58 easure distant  $\frac{1}{2}$  to galaxies using

Cepheid variable stars. He got the relative 0.69414tance 0.692re OrOlegs right, although his

distance scale was later recalibrated as  $0.8288 + 0.826 \pm 0.012$ Cepheid variables were better understood.

11.52 
$$11.3 \pm 1.$$

Planck errors are small and Planck's  
values for 
$$H_0$$
 and  $\Omega_m$  are rather  
different from some earlier ones  
 $G_0$   $G_5$   $T_0$   $T_5$   $T_5$   $T_6$   $T_6$   $T_6$   $T_6$   $T_6$   $T_7$   $T_7$ 

$$v = H_0 D + v_p$$

# **General Relativity**

### CURVED SPACE TELLS MATTER HOW TO MOVE

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}s} + \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta} u^{\alpha} u^{\beta} = 0$$



### MATTER TELLS SPACE HOW TO CURVE

 $G^{\mu\nu} \equiv R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{8\pi}{3}GT^{\mu\nu} - \Lambda g^{\mu\nu}$ 

**Einstein Field Equations** 

Here  $u^{\alpha}$  is the velocity 4-vector of a particle. The Riemann curvature tensor  $R^{\lambda}_{\mu\sigma\nu}$ , Ricci curvature tensor  $R_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\lambda\mu\sigma\nu}g^{\lambda\sigma}$ , curvature scalar  $R \equiv R_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu}$ , and affine connection  $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\beta}$  can be calculated from the metric tensor  $g_{\lambda\sigma}$ . If the metric is just that of flat space, then  $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\beta} = 0$  and the first equation above just says that the particle is unaccelerated -- i.e., it satisfies the law of inertia (Newton's 1st law).

# **General Relativity and Cosmology**

CURVED SPACE TELLS : MATTER HOW TO MOVE

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}s} + \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta} u^{\alpha} u^{\beta} = 0$$



### MATTER TELLS SPACE HOW TO CURVE

 $G^{\mu\nu} \equiv R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg^{\mu\nu} = -8\pi GT^{\mu\nu} - \Lambda g^{\mu\nu}$ 

#### Einstein's Cosmological Principle: on large scales, space is uniform and isotropic.

COBE-Copernicus Theorem: If all observers observe a nearly-isotropic Cosmic Background Radiation (CBR), then the universe is locally nearly homogeneous and isotropic – i.e., is approximately described by the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric

 $ds^{2} = dt^{2} - a^{2}(t) \left[ dr^{2} (1 - kr^{2})^{-1} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right]$ 

with curvature constant k = -1, 0, or +1. Substituting this metric into the Einstein equations above, we get the Friedmann equations. Here r is the comoving coordinate, and the expansion factor a(t) = 1/(1+z), where z is the redshift. At the present epoch t =  $t_0$ ,  $a_0 = a(t_0) = 1$  and  $z(t_0) = 0$ . The distance D(t) = a(t) r. [Perkins R(t) = a(t).]

#### Friedmann-Robertson-Walker Metric (homogeneous, isotropic universe)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FRW E}(00) \quad \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} &= \frac{8\pi}{3}G\rho - \frac{k}{a^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} & \longleftarrow \text{Friedmann equation} \\ \text{FRW E}(ii) \quad \frac{2\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} &= -8\pi Gp - \frac{k}{a^2} + \Lambda \\ & H_0 &\equiv 100h \, \text{km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-2} \\ &\equiv 70h_{70} \, \text{km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-2} \\ &= 1.36 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-2} \\ & \text{at to, with a(to)=1} \\ & \rho_{c,0} &\equiv \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} = 1.36 \, \times 10^{11}h_{70}^2 M_{\odot} \text{Mpc}^{-3} \\ & E(ii) - E(00) \Rightarrow \frac{2\ddot{a}}{a} &= -\frac{8\pi}{3}G\rho - 8\pi Gp + \frac{2}{3}\Lambda \\ & \text{Divide by } 2E(00) \Rightarrow \quad q_0 &\equiv -\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \frac{a^2}{\dot{a}^2}\right)_0 = \frac{\Omega_0}{2} - \Omega_\Lambda & \longleftarrow \text{deceleration parameter} \\ & (\text{note that } \rho_0 = 0) \\ & E(00) \Rightarrow t_0 &= \int_0^1 \frac{da}{a} \left[\frac{8\pi}{3}G\rho - \frac{k}{a^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} = H_0^{-1} \int_0^1 \frac{da}{a} \left[\frac{\Omega_0}{a^3} - \frac{k}{H_0^2a^2} + \Omega_\Lambda\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & t_0 &= H_0^{-1}f(\Omega_0, \Omega_\Lambda) \\ & H_0^{-1} &= 9.78h^{-1}\text{Gyr} \\ & \text{age of the universe} \\ & f(0, 0) &= 1 \\ & f(0, 1) &= \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E(00)}{H_0^2} \Rightarrow 1 &= \Omega_0 - \frac{k}{H_0^2} + \Omega_\Lambda \text{ with } H \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \ a_0 \equiv 1, \ \Omega_0 \equiv \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_c}, \Omega_\Lambda \equiv \frac{\Lambda}{3H_0^2}, \\ \text{at to, with a(to)=1} & \rho_{c,0} \equiv \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} = 1.36 \times 10^{11} h_{70}^2 M_{\odot} \text{Mpc}^{-3} \\ E(ii) - E(00) \Rightarrow \frac{2\ddot{a}}{a} &= -\frac{8\pi}{3} G\rho - 8\pi Gp + \frac{2}{3} \Lambda & H_0 \equiv 100h \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-2} \\ \text{Divide by } 2E(00) \Rightarrow & q_0 \equiv -\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \frac{a^2}{\dot{a}^2}\right)_0 = \frac{\Omega_0}{2} - \Omega_\Lambda & \leftarrow \text{deceleration parameter} \\ \text{(note that } p_0 = 0) & R_0 \equiv -\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \frac{a^2}{\dot{a}^2}\right)_0 = \frac{\Omega_0}{2} - \Omega_\Lambda & \leftarrow \text{deceleration parameter} \\ E(00) \Rightarrow t_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{da}{a} \left[\frac{8\pi}{3} G\rho - \frac{k}{a^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} = H_0^{-1} \int_0^1 \frac{da}{a} \left[\frac{\Omega_0}{a^3} - \frac{k}{H_0^2 a^2} + \Omega_\Lambda\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ t_0 = H_0^{-1} f(\Omega_0, \Omega_\Lambda) & H_0^{-1} = 9.78h^{-1}\text{Gyr} & f(1, 0) = \frac{2}{3} \\ \text{age of the universe} &= 13.97h_{70}^{-1}\text{ Gyr} & f(0, 0) = 1 \\ f(0, 1) = \infty \end{aligned}$$

Given eq. of state  $p = p(\rho)$ , integrate to determine  $\rho(a)$ , integrate E(00) to determine a(t)

Examples:  $p = 0 \Rightarrow \rho = \rho_0 a^{-3}$  (assumed above in  $q_0, t_0$  eqs.)  $p = \frac{\rho}{3}, \ k = 0 \Rightarrow \rho \propto a^{-4}$   $p = w\rho, \ k = 0 \Rightarrow \rho \propto a^{-3(1+w)}$ 

#### **Cosmological Parameters** (observations and simulations)

Parameter	WMAP9*	Bolshoi	Planck+WP+highL+BAO**	Bolshoi-Planck***	Millennium
$arOmega_\Lambda$	0.7135 ± 0.0096	0.73	0.692 ± 0.010	0.6929	0.75
$\Omega_{\rm m}$	0.2865 ± 0.0088	0.27	$(1-\Omega_{\Lambda})$	0.3071	0.25
σ <sub>8</sub>	$0.820 \pm 0.014$	0.82	$0.826 \pm 0.012$	0.8225	0.90
$H_0$	$69.32 \pm 0.80$	70.0	67.80 ± 0.77	67.77	73.0
<u>n</u> s	0.9608 ± 0.0080	0.95	$0.9608 \pm 0.0054$	0.96	1.00
$t_0$ (Gyr)	13.772 ± 0.059	13.86	$13.798 \pm 0.037$	13.814	13.573

\*WMAP9 is WMAP+eCMB+BAO+H<sub>0</sub> from Table 17 of Bennett et al. arXiv:1212.5225v2 (30 Jan 2013)

\*\*The 4th column is the 68% limits for Planck+WP+highL+BAO from Table 5 of of the Planck Collaboration: Cosmological parameters paper, Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters

\*\*\*Bolshoi-Planck parameters were used for the Bolshoi-Planck and MultiDark-Planck simulations



Negative vacuum pressure = gravitational repulsion

#### Why a cosmological constant corresponds to negative pressure:

When gas pushes the piston out it does work pdV and the internal energy of the gas is *reduced*. But when the vacuum expands, the energy *increases* by  $\rho_V dV$ . Hence  $p = -\rho_V$ , so w = -1.



Table 5. Best-fit values and 68% confidence limits for the base ACDM model.

## History of Cosmic Expansion for General $\Omega_M \& \Omega_\Lambda$



## History of Cosmic Expansion for General $\Omega_M \& \Omega_\Lambda$



# History of Cosmic Expansion for $\Omega_{\Lambda}$ = 1- $\Omega_{M}$

With  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0$  the age of the decelerating universe would be only 9 Gyr, but  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ ,  $\Omega_{m} = 0.3$  gives an age of 14 Gyr, consistent with stellar and radioactive decay ages

Figure 4. The history of cosmic expansion, as measured by the high-redshift supernovae (the black data points), assuming flat cosmic geometry. The scale factor R of the universe is taken to be 1 at present, so it equals 1/(1 + z). The curves in the blue shaded region represent cosmological models in which the accelerating effect of vacuum energy eventually overcomes the decelerating effect of the mass density. These curves assume vacuum energy densities ranging from 0.95  $\rho_c$  (top curve) down to 0.4  $\rho_c$ . In the yellow shaded region, the curves represent models in which the cosmic expansion is always decelerating due to high mass density. They assume mass densities ranging (left to right) from 0.8  $\rho_c$  up to 1.4  $\rho_c$ . In fact, for the last two curves, the expansion eventually halts and reverses into a cosmic collapse.



Saul Perlmutter, *Physics Today*, Apr 2003

## LCDM Benchmark Cosmological Model: Ingredients & Epochs

	List of Ingredients	
photons:	$\Omega_{\gamma,0} = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$	
neutrinos:	$\Omega_{\nu,0} = 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$	
total radiation:	$\Omega_{r,0} = 8.4 \times 10^{-5}$	
baryonic matter:	$\Omega_{\text{bary},0} = 0.04$	
nonbaryonic dark matter:	$\Omega_{\rm dm,0} = 0.26$	
total matter:	$\Omega_{m,0} = 0.30$	
cosmological constant:	$\Omega_{\Lambda,0} \approx 0.70$	

	Important Epochs	
radiation-matter equality:	$a_{rm} = 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	$t_{rm} = 4.7 \times 10^4  \mathrm{yr}$
matter-lambda equality:	$a_{m\Lambda} = 0.75$	$t_{m\Lambda} = 9.8 \mathrm{Gyr}$
Now:	$a_0 = 1$	$t_0 = 13.5  \text{Gyr}$

#### Barbara Ryden, Introduction to Cosmology (Addison-Wesley, 2003)

### **Benchmark Model: Scale Factor vs. Time**



**FIGURE 6.5** The scale factor *a* as a function of time *t* (measured in units of the Hubble time), computed for the Benchmark Model. The dotted lines indicate the time of radiation-matter equality,  $a_{rm} = 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ , the time of matter-lambda equality,  $a_{m\Lambda} = 0.75$ , and the present moment,  $a_0 = 1$ . Barbara Ryden, *Introduction to Cosmology* (Addison-Wesley, 2003)

### Age of the Universe t<sub>0</sub> in FRW Cosmologies



(a) Evolution of the scale factor a(t) plotted vs. the time after the present  $(t - t_0)$  in units of Hubble time  $t_H \equiv H_0^{-1} = 9.78h^{-1}$  Gyr for three different cosmologies: Einstein-de Sitter ( $\Omega_0 = 1, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0$  dotted curve), negative curvature ( $\Omega_0 = 0.3, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0$ : dashed curve), and low- $\Omega_0$  flat ( $\Omega_0 = 0.3, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ : solid curve). (b) Age of the universe today  $t_0$  in units of Hubble time  $t_H$  as a function of  $\Omega_0$  for  $\Lambda = 0$  (dashed curve) and flat  $\Omega_0 + \Omega_{\Lambda} = 1$  (solid curve) cosmologies.

# Age t<sub>0</sub> of the Double Dark Universe



Age of the Universe and Lookback Time



These are for the Benchmark Model  $\Omega_{m,0}$ =0.3,  $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}$ =0.7, h=0.7.

## Distances in the Expanding Universe: Ned Wright's Javascript Calculator

