

Cosmology and 21st-Century Culture

Nancy Ellen Abrams and Joel R. Primack

Nancy Ellen Abrams is a lawyer and writer, and her husband Joel R. Primack is a professor of physics at the University of California, Santa Cruz. They have been teaching a course at UCSC on Cosmology and Culture for 6 years. Primack currently chairs the advisory committee to the AAAS Program of Dialogue on Science, Ethics, and Religion.

creature in the universe tended toward its proper place for love of God.

The stable center was torn out of the Medieval universe at the beginning of the 17th century, when Galileo's telescope observations showed that the Ptolemaic Earth-centered picture was wrong. Galileo ridiculed the prevailing cosmology in his *Dialogue Concerning the Two Chief World Systems* (1632), but the Catholic Church forced him to recant and held him under house arrest for the rest of his life. This was a frightening and sobering event for scientists all over Europe. Eventually, following the lead of Bacon and Descartes, science protected itself by entering into a de facto pact of noninterference with religion: Science would restrict its authority to the material world, and religion would hold unchallenged authority over spiritual issues. By the time Isaac Newton was born in 1642, the spoils of reality had been divided. The physical world and the world of human meaning were now separate realms.

The new picture portrayed the universe as endless empty space with stars scattered randomly in it. It never fully replaced the Medieval universe in people's hearts, partly because it felt so incomplete. There was no particular place for humans, no place for God, and no explanation of the universe's origin. In the mid-17th century, Blaise Pascal [*Pensees*] (1670) expressed a sentiment unheard of in the Middle Ages: "engulfed in the infinite immensity of spaces whereof I know nothing, and which know nothing of me, I am terrified... The eternal silence of these infinite spaces alarms me." Newtonian cosmology was the first that had nothing to say about humans, and believers in science could no longer even conceptualize the ancient ideal

The resulting origin story will be the first ever based on scientific evidence and created by a collaboration of people from different religions and races all around the world, all of whose contributions are subjected to the same standards of verifiability. The new picture of reality excludes none and treats all humans as equal. The revolution in scientific cosmology today may open the door to a believable picture of the larger reality in which our world, our lives, and all our cultures are embedded.

Religion and Cosmology

In Biblical times when people looked up at a blue sky, they understood the blue to be water, held up by a hard, transparent dome that covered the entire flat Earth. In the King James translation, the dome was named the "firmament." According to the first creation story at the beginning of Genesis, by creating this dome on the second day, God divided the waters "above" from the waters "below" and held open the space for dry land and air.

At about the same time as the Genesis story took the form in which we know it, Greek philosophers were living in a different universe in which the Earth was not flat and domed but a round celestial object. By the Middle Ages the Greek image of concentric spheres, and not the Bible's flat domed Earth, had become the unquestioned universe for Jews, Moslems, and Christians alike.

Thus, on a clear night in Medieval Europe, a person looking up into the sky would have seen hard, transparent spheres nested inside each other, encircling the center of the universe, the Earth. Each sphere carried a plane, the moon, or the sun. Heaven itself was immediately outside the most distant sphere, which carried the "fixed stars." The hierarchies of church, nobility, and family mirrored this cosmic hierarchy. Every thing and every

We like to think of our generation in this Information Age as the smartest and most knowledgeable that has ever lived. Yet most people in modern Western culture have no idea what our universe looks like or how to begin to think about the way we humans may fit into the cosmos. Every traditional culture known to anthropology has had a cosmology—a story of how the world began and continues, how humans came to exist, and what the gods expect of us. Cosmology made sense of the ordinary world by defining a larger context and grounding people's sense of reality, their identity, and their codes of behavior in that grand scheme. Like modern science it embedded everydayness in an invisible reality: modern science explains by means of countless molecules; African cosmologies explain by means of countless spirits. Ordinary people in traditional societies accepted responsibility for maintaining the cosmos itself by ritually reenacting the creation stories for every generation. This is how they knew who they were. The absence of a cosmology was as inconceivable as the absence of language. Their pictures of the universe were not what anyone today would consider scientifically accurate, but they were true by the standards of their culture.

Science undermined all traditional pictures of the universe in the Renaissance, centuries before it was in a position to create one of its own. A cosmology can only be taken seriously if it is believable, and after the scientific revolution our standards of believability were forever changed. For four centuries scientific cosmology was not taken seriously because the ratio of theory to data was almost infinite. However, science now appears to be closing in on an origin story that may *actually be true*—one that can withstand the most rigorous tests and will still be accepted hundreds of years from now, as Newton's theory remains valid for the solar system (within known limitations). This is the highest grade of truth possible in modern science.

Modern cosmology is in the midst of a scientific revolution. New instruments are producing the first detailed data about the distant universe. Since light travels at a finite speed, looking out in space is the same as looking back in time. We can now ob-

1 of humans living in harmony with the
2 universe.
3 Why should an origin story matter to-
4 day? "The universe" is irrelevant to most
5 people in the West, except as a fantasy
6 outlet. The universe plays no part in main-
7 stream religions, except perhaps to dem-
8 onstrate the glory of a creator. How many
9 people recognize the possibility of a sa-
0 cred relationship between the way the ex-
1 panding universe operates and the way
2 human beings ought to behave? What re-
3 ligious teaches that this could be a source
4 of harmony among humans?
5 Instead, most educated people in the
6 21st century live in a cosmology defined
7 by a 17th-century picture of cold, still,
8 empty space, along with fragments of tra-
9 ditional stories and doubts about what is
0 real. Many have not fully absorbed the
1 discovery nearly a century ago of the great
2 age and size of the universe; indeed, con-
3 troversies between science and religion
4 often center on conflicting origin stories.
5 The current cosmological revolution may
6 provide the first chance in 400 years to
7 develop a shared cosmology. There is,
8 however, a moral responsibility involved
9 in tampering with the underpinnings of re-
0 ality, as scientific cosmology is now do-
1 ing. How well the emerging cosmology is
2 interpreted in language meaningful to or-
3 dinary people may influence how well its
4 elemental concepts are understood, which
5 may in turn affect how positive its conse-
6 quences for society turn out to be. Will the
7 new scientific story fuel a renaissance of
8 creativity and hope in the emerging global
9 culture—or will it be appropriated by the
0 powerful and used to oppress the ignorant,
1 as the Medieval hierarchical universe was
2 used to justify rigid social hierarchies?
3 Will news of new discoveries about the
4 universe just be entertainment for an edu-
5 cated minority but, like science fiction or
6 metaphysics, have little to do with the
7 "real world?"

8 All possibilities are still open because
9 the meaning of this new cosmology is not
0 implicit in the science. Scientific cosmol-
1 ogy, unlike traditional cosmologies,
2 makes no attempt to link the story of the
3 cosmos to how human beings should be-
4 have. It is the job of scholars, artists, and
5 other creative people to try to understand
6 the scientific picture and to perceive and
7 express human meanings in it.[†] A living
8 cosmology for 21st-century culture will
9 emerge when the scientific nature of the
0 universe becomes enlightening for human
1 beings.

2 This will not happen easily. The result
3 of centuries of separation between science
4 and religion is that each is suspicious of
5 the other intruding on its turf. In 1999 the

**The Transition from Cosmic Inflation to
Expansion as a Model for Earth**

Standard Big Bang theory explains the
creation of the light elements in the first 3
minutes, but it does not explain what pre-
ceded or what has followed. Gravity alone
could not have created the complex, large-
scale structures and flows of galaxies that
are observed to exist. If matter were abso-
lutely evenly distributed coming out of the
Big Bang, gravity could have done nothing
but affect the rate of the overall expansion.
Consequently, either some causal phe-
nomenon such as "cosmic strings" acting
after the Big Bang formed the giant struc-
tures we observe today—which looks in-
creasingly dubious because such theories
conflict with the new observations of the
cosmic background radiation—or else
gravity must have had some differences in
density to work with from the beginning.
Cosmic Inflation could have caused such
primordial differences.

The theory of Cosmic Inflation was
proposed two decades ago by Alan Guth,
Andrei Linde, and others. It is the only ex-
planation we have today for the initial
conditions that led to the Big Bang.[#] It
says that for an extremely small fraction of
a second at the beginning of the Big Bang,
the universe expanded exponentially, in-
flating countless random quantum events
in the process, and leaving the newly cre-
ated spacetime faintly wrinkled on all size
scales. All galaxies and larger structures in

*N. E. Abrams, J. R. Primack, *Philos. Sci.* 9, 75 (2001).
†T. S. Kuhn, *The Copernican Revolution* (Vintage Books,
New York, 1959), esp. pp. 222-224.
‡N. Abrams, *Alien Wisdom* (a CD of her original music
exploring themes of this article; for more information
see www.expanduniverse.org).
§ *Cosmic Questions*, J. B. Miller, ed., *Ann. N. Y. Acad.
Sci.* (Dec. 2001). The entire text plus video excerpts
from the meeting and interviews with speakers will be
included on a CD-ROM; for further information see
www.aas.org/sdp/dsc/.
||Another example: J. R. Primack, N. E. Abrams, *Tikkun*
16, 59 (2001).
#For a more detailed explanation of current thinking about
the initial conditions for the Big Bang, see, e.g., A. H.
Guth *The Inflationary Universe: The Quest for a New
Theory of Cosmic Origins* (Addison-Wesley, Reading,
MA, 1997); M. Rees, *Before the Beginning: Our Uni-
verse and Others* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA,
1997).

References and Notes:
The single most important question for
the present generation may be how global
civilization can make the transition grace-
fully from inflating consumption to a sus-
tainable level. But the cosmic transition
from inflation to the slow and steady ex-
pansion that followed the Big Bang shows
that ending inflation does not mean that all
growth must stop, even though many peo-
ple trying to save the planet assume so. In-
flation transformed to expansion can go on
for billions of years. Processing informa-
tion, which occupies more and more of the
world's population, does not need to be
environmentally costly. Human life can
continue to be enhanced as long as our
creativity in restoring the Earth stays ahead
of our material growth.

fluctuations, enormously inflated in scale.
Inflation is also the controlling meta-
phor of our culture in the present epoch.
Not only is the human population inflating;
so too are the average technological power
and the resource use of each individual.
The human race is addicted to exponential
growth, but this obviously cannot continue
at the present rate. In a finite environment,
inflation must end, however cleverly we
may postpone or disguise the inevitable.

the universe grew from these quantum
fluctuations, enormously inflated in scale.