

XIII Cíclo de Cursos Especíaís 27 a 31 de outubro de 2008

Lecture 4 - Galaxy Formation Theory: Semi-Analytic Models

Joel Primack, UCSC

Semi-Analytic Models are currently the best way to understand the formation of galaxies and clusters within the cosmic web dark matter gravitational skeleton. This lecture will discuss the current state of the art in galaxy formation, and describe the successes and challenges for the best current Λ CDM models of the roles of baryonic physics and supermassive black holes in the formation of galaxies. I thank my collaborators Avishai Dekel, Sandra Faber, and Rachel Somerville for some of the slides used in this lecture.

Initial Conditions: WMAP5 cosmology

CMB + galaxy P(k) + Type Ia SNe \rightarrow

 $Ω_{\Lambda}$ =0.72, $Ω_{m}$ =0.28, $Ω_{b}$ =0.046, H₀=70 km/s/Mpc, $σ_{8}$ =0.82

Initial Conditions: WMAP cosmology
Final Conditions: Low-z galaxy properties

Well-studied in Milky Way and nearby galaxies

- Initial Conditions: WMAP cosmology
- Final Conditions: Low-z galaxies
- Integral Constraints: Cosmological quantities

Star Formation Rate Density (SFRD) vs. redshift (M_☉/yr/Mpc³) - Madau plot Stellar Mass Density (SMD) vs. redshift (M_☉/Mpc³) - Dickinson plot SMD should = integrated SFRD: $\rho_*(t) = \int_0^t dt \, d\rho_*/dt$

Extragalactic Background Light (EBL) - constrains integrated SFRD

- Initial Conditions: WMAP cosmology
- Final Conditions: Low-z galaxies
- Integral Constraints: Cosmological quantities
- Well-studied galaxy evolution at z<1
 SDSS clarified galaxy scaling relations, galaxy color bimodality
 COMBO-17, DEEP, COSMOS surveys measuring star formation rates, etc.

Initial Conditions: WMAP cosmology
Final Conditions: Low-z galaxies
Integral Constraints: Cosmological quantities
Well-studied galaxy evolution at z<1
Galaxy Zoo Identified at z=2-3

Lyman break galaxies, Lyman alpha emitters, Distant red galaxies, Active Galactic Nuclei, Damped Lyman alpha systems, Submillimeter galaxies

However: Evolutionary sequence unclear. Which (if any) are progenitors of typical galaxies like the Milky Way?

z=5.7 (t=1.0 Gyr)

31.25 Mpc

Semi-Analytic Models of Galaxy Formation



z=0 (t=13.6 Gyr)

Springel et al. 2006



Present status of ΛCDM "Double Dark" theory:

 cosmological parameters are now well constrained by observations

 structure formation in dominant dark matter component accurately quantified

 mass accretion history of dark matter halos is represented by 'merger trees' like the one at left

z=5.7 (t=1.0 Gyr)

31.25 Mpc/

Semi-Analytic Models of Galaxy Formation

cooling

merging

burst)

winds

 \bullet

ightarrow

 \bullet

Astrophysical

processes modeled:

shock heating & radiative

photoionization squelching

star formation (quiescent &

AGN accretion and feedback

stellar populations & dust

SN heating & SN-driven

chemical evolution

z=1.4 (t=4.7 Gyr)

z=0 (t=13.6 Gyr)

Springel et al. 2006



Semi-Analytic Models of Galaxy Formation

- gas is collisionally heated when perturbations 'turn around' and collapse to form gravitationally bound structures
- gas in halos cools via atomic line transitions (depends on density, temperature, and metallicity)
- cooled gas collapses to form a rotationally supported disk
- cold gas forms stars, with efficiency a function of gas density (e.g. Schmidt-Kennicutt Law)
- massive stars and SNae reheat (and expel?) cold gas and some metals
- galaxy mergers trigger bursts of star formation; 'major' mergers transform disks into spheroids

White & Frenk 1991; Kauffmann et al. 93; Cole et al. 94; Somerville & Primack 99; Cole et al. 2000; Somerville, Primack, & Faber 01; Croton et al. 06; De Lucia & Blaizot 06; Cattaneo et al. 07; Somerville et al. 08

New Improved Semi-Analytic Models Work!

- Earlier CDM-based galaxy formation models suffered from a set of interlinked problems
 - overcooling/cooling flow problems in galaxies and clusters
 - -failure to produce observed color bimodality
- 'Bright mode' AGN feedback may regulate BH formation & temporarily quench star formation, but is not a viable 'maintenance' mechanism
- Low-accretion rate 'radio mode' feedback is a promising mechanism for counteracting cooling flows over long time scales
- New self-consistent 'hybrid' models based on physical scaling from numerical simulations and calibrated against empirical constraints now enable us to predict/ interpret the relationship between galaxies, BH, and AGN across cosmic history

-- Rachel Somerville

Baryons in Dark Matter Halos



- in order to reconcile CDM (sub)halo mass function with galaxy LF or stellar MF, cooling/star formation must be inefficient overall, most efficient at $M_{halo} \sim 10^{11} M_{sun}$
- baryon/DM ratio must be a strongly nonlinear (& nonmonotonic) function of halo mass

Somerville & Primack 1999; cf. Benson et al. 2003

Dark halo mass growth vs. time: 4 clusters

GALics DM halos by Cattaneo et al. 2006



Dark halos of progressively smaller mass



A schematic model of average halo mass growth



Key assumption: star-forming band in dark-halo mass



Key assumption: star-forming band in dark-halo mass



Key assumption: star-forming band in dark-halo mass





Implications and Predictions of the Model

1) Each halo has a unique dark-matter growth path and associated stellar mass growth path.

2) Stellar mass follows halo mass until M_{halo} crosses M_{crit} .

SAMs:

 $M_{star} \sim 0.05 M_{halo}$

3) A *mass sequence* comes from the fact that different halo masses enter the star-forming band at different times. A galaxy's position is determined by its *entry redshift* into the band. More massive galaxies enter earlier. Thus:



Small galaxies:

- Started forming stars late.
- Are still making stars today.
- Are blue today.

• Populate dark halos that match their stellar mass.

Implications and Predictions of the Model

Massive galaxies:

- Started forming stars early.
- Shut down early.
- Are red today.
- Populate dark halos that are much more massive than their stellar mass.

"Downsizing"

Star formation is a wave that started in the largest galaxies and swept down to smaller masses later (Cowie et al. 1996).

Theories for the lower halo star-formation boundary



 M_{thresh} is the halo mass at the LOWER edge of the star-formation band, roughly 10¹⁰ M_{\odot} .

Not yet well understood

Supernova feedback (Dekel & Silk 1985):

 $v_{lim} < 100 \text{ km/sec}$



1

Early Universe reionization (e.g., Somerville 2002):

 $v_{lim} < 30$ km/sec



Plus tidal destruction!

Theories for the upper halo star-formation boundary



 M_{crit} is the halo mass at the UPPER edge of the starformation band, roughly 10^{12} M_X. Gas in halos above the critical halo mass $M_{crit} \sim 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ cannot cool (Ostriker & Rees 1978, Blumenthal et al. 1984, Dekel & Birnboim 2007).



More realistic model of halo-cooling boundary



More realistic model of halo-cooling boundary



Theories for the upper halo star-formation boundary

2



 M_{crit} is the halo mass at the UPPER edge of the star-formation band, roughly $10^{12} M_X$.

Merging galaxies trigger BH growth. AGN feedback drives out galaxy gas (Hopkins et al 2006).

| T = 0.21 Gyr | T = 0.32 Gyr | T = 0.29 Gyr | T+030GK |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| T = 8.57 Cyr | T - 0.68 Gyr | T - 0.75 Gyr | T = 0.00 Gp |
| T = 8.94 Gyr | T - 1.03 Gyr | T-1.11 Gyr | T-121Gr |
| T = 1.36 Gyr | T = 1.30 Gyr | T = 148 Gyr | T 156Cyr |
| T = 1.66 Gyr | T = 1.75 Gyr | T = 1.84 Gyr | T = 1.93 Gyr |

(c) Interaction/"Merger"



- now within one halo, galaxies interact & lose angular momentum
- SFR starts to increase
- stellar winds dominate feedback
- rarely excite QSOs (only special orbits)

(b) "Small Group"



- Milo
- halo accretes similar-mass companion(s)
- can occur over a wide mass range
- Misio still similar to before: dynamical friction merges the subhalos efficiently

(a) Isolated Disk



- halo & disk grow, most stars formed
- secular growth builds bars & pseudobulges
- "Seyfert" fueling (AGN with Mo>-23)
- cannot redden to the red sequence

(d) Coalescence/(U)LIRG



- galaxies coalesce: violent relaxation in core
 gas inflows to center:
- starburst & buried (X-ray) AGN - starburst dominates luminosity/feedback,
- but, total stellar mass formed is small

1000

[Mo yr-1]

SFR

(e) "Blowout"



- BH grows rapidly: briefly dominates luminosity/feedback
- remaining dust/gas expelled
- get reddened (but not Type II) QSO: recent/ongoing SF in host high Eddington ratios merger signatures still visible

(f) Quasar



- dust removed: now a "traditional" QSO
 host morphology difficult to observe:
 - tidal features fade rapidly
- characteristically blue/young spheroid

(g) Decay/K+A



NGC 7252

M59

 QSO luminosity fades rapidly

 tidal features visible only with very deep observations
 remnant reddens rapidly (E+A/K+A)
 "hot halo" from feedback

 sets up quasi-static cooling

(h) "Dead" Elliptical



- star formation terminated
- large BH/spheroid efficient feedback
 halo grows to "large group" scales:
- mergers become inefficient
- growth by "dry" mergers



Why AGN Feedback Can Make Massive Galaxies Red/Dead

- Need mechanism to
 - quench star formation in massive galaxies
 - stop cooling in clusters
- SN feedback inadequate: not enough energy, little star formation in red galaxies
- BH mass closely connected with host galaxy's spheroid mass
- Bigger $BH \Rightarrow$ more energy

 $(L_{max} \sim L_{Edd} \sim M_{BH})$

Magorrian et al. 1998; Gebhardt et al. 2000, Ferrarese & Merritt 2000



The challenge of simulating BH growth and AGN FB in a cosmological context

Aillennium Run

10 kpc

- dynamic range:
 - Gpc (luminous QSO)
 - -few 100 Mpc (LSS)
 - 10's of kpc (ICM, jets)
 - -sub-kpc (star formation, stellar FB)
 - few 100 pc (nuclear gas inflows, starbursts, AGN feeding, winds)
 - pc & sub-pc (accretion disk, BH mergers, etc)
- poorly understood physics (Bfields, conduction, cosmic ray pressure, turbulence, feeding problem, ...)

AGN feedback 1: bright mode

- optical/X-ray luminous AGN/QSO, produced during periods of efficient feeding (mergers?)
- high accretion rates (0.1-1 L_{Edd}), fueled by cold gas via thin accretion disk --> BH grows rapidly
- rare-->duty cycle short
- thermal coupling of AGN energy with ISM is probably fairly weak (<5%)





Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist 2005 Hydrodynamic simulations of galaxy mergers including black hole growth and feedback

- self-regulated BH growth, reproducing $M_{\rm BH}$ - σ relation (di Matteo et al. 2004)
- AGN-driven wind removes residual cold gas at the end of the merger, leading to lower SFR and redder colors in the spheroidal remnant (Springel et al. 2004)

1.4 Gyr

Time = 1.1 Gyr



Color-Magnitude Diagram of EGS X-ray selected AGN



Rest-frame U-B colour is plotted against the B-band absolute magnitude for DEEP2 comparison galaxies (small blue dots) and X-ray sources (filled red circles) in the EGS in the range 0.7 < z < 1.4. Squares around the symbols indicate hard X-ray sources, and more luminous systems ($L_X > 10^{43}$ erg s⁻¹) are plotted with larger symbols. The dashed line separates red and blue galaxies, and the dotted lines show the DEEP2 completeness limits at z=1.0 and z=1.4. (Nandra et al., ApJ Letters, 2007.)

Morphological distribution of EGS X-ray selected AGN



The highest fraction of EGS galaxies hosting AGN are early-types, not mergers. This suggests that the AGN activity is delayed, rather than occurring mainly during and immediately following mergers as the Hopkins et al. simulations predicted. (Christy Pierce et al., ApJ Letters, May 2007).



FRI

AGN feedback 2: Radio Mode

- some massive galaxies are 'radio loud'
- radio activity believed to be associated with BH's in 'low accretion state' (low Eddington ratio, <10⁻³)
- jets often associated with cavities visible in X-ray images
- coupling of jet energy with hot gas very efficient





NEW Self-Consistent Model for the Co-Evolution of Galaxies, Black Holes, and AGN

- Top-level halos start with a ~100 M_{sun} seed BH
- Mergers trigger bursts of star formation and accretion onto BH; efficiency and timescale parameterized based on hydrodynamical merger simulations (μ , B/T, V_c, f_g, z; Cox et al., Robertson et al.)
- BH accrete at Eddington rate until they reach 'critical mass', then enter 'blowout' (power-law decline) phase

 $dm_{acc}/dt = \dot{m_{Edd}}/[1+(t/t_Q)^{\beta}]$

- Energy released by accretion drives a wind
- BH merge when their galaxies merge; mass is conserved

Somerville, Hopkins, Cox, et al. 2008 MN



quasi-hydrostatic yes hot gas halo?

gas continues to cool forms a new disk

radio jets form & begin to heat hot gas, offset cooling flow 10 kpc

in the absence of new fuel, stars evolve passively... accretion onto BH shuts off

galaxies & BH continue to grow via wet, moist & dry mergers...

no

cooling and accretion resumes

Predicted M_{BH}-M_{bulge} relationship

in Somerville+08 model, arises from 'bright mode' feedback



matches slope & scatter of observed relation

large symbols: Haering & Rix data green: H&R fit + scatter intrinsic scatter: 0.3 dex

cyan: predicted median, 10th, & 90th percentile predicted scatter: ~0.15 dex

Somerville et al. 2008

AGN Heating Leads to Galaxy Mass Functions at z~0 in Agreement with Observations



Somerville et al. 2008
Luminosity Functions



Somerville et al. 2008

Model produces enough massive galaxies at high redshift



Stellar Mass Function Evolution



data from Borch et al. (COMBO-17); Drory et al. (MUNICS, GOODS, FDF) Somerville et al. in prep A Physical Model for Predicting the Properties of Spheroidal Remnants of Binary Mergers of Gas Rich Disk Galaxies

We might expect that a more energetic encounter will cause increased tidal stripping and puff up the remnant.

NO! For our simulations, more energetic encounters create more compact remnants.

Why? Dissipative effects cause more energetic encounters to result in smaller remnants. The greater the impulse, the more the gas is disturbed, therefore the more it can radiate and form stars.

A number of physical mechanisms conspire to make this so (e.g., greater tidal effects, lower angular momentum, and more gas disk overlap).

Matt Covington, Cox, Dekel, & Primack MNRAS 2008



Somerville+08 SAM + Mergers Predict Observed Size-Mass





Faber-Jackson relations for the remnants in the S08 SAM, binned by redshift. Model predicts little F-J evolution.



Red line is the observed relation at low redshift (Gallazzi et al., 2006).

Fundamental Plane plotted as M_{*}vs. M_{dyn} for the remnants in the S08 SAM, binned by redshift. Model reproduces observed tilt of the Fundamental Plane.



Flow through the color-mass diagram for "central" galaxies



Sandra Faber

Flow through the color-mass diagram for "satellite" galaxies



Sandra Faber

Flow through the CM diagram versus environment



Hogg et al. 2003: Sloan Survey



Sandra Faber

History of Star Formation and Stellar Mass Build-up



Discrepancy: SFR indicators or IMF evolution?

Somerville et al. 2008

SFR tracers available for large numbers of galaxies at $z \sim 1$:

 Thermal IR 24mum + UV continuum : Advantage: In principle, self-correcting for extinction Problems: Obscured AGN posing as SF (Daddi et al. 2007) Are local IR SED templates correct at z>~1? Hope: longer λ data (FIDEL, Herschel, LMT, ALMA)

2) UV continuum

Advantage: widely available from broad-band imaging to high z **Problems:** extinction correction (UV slope, ...) uncertain **Hope:** SED fits (Salim et al.), calib from other tracers

3) Emission lines (Balmer, OII, OIII)
Advantage: Robust extinction correction from Balmer decrement
Problems: Balmer lines need NIR spectroscopy at z~1
OII, OIII depend on T,O/H, calibration problematic
Hope: NIR, massively Multi-Object spectrographs





08SAM Fails to Predict Observed 850 µm Number Counts





Extragalactic Background Light





Upper Limits on EBL from z~0.2 Blazars and z=0.53 Quasar





Conclusions

- High resolution DM simulations show halo substructure. New hydrodynamic simulations are increasingly able to explain galaxy formation. At z>2, even massive halos have cold streams bringing in gas that quickly forms stars. At z<2 this only happens for M_{halo} < 10¹².
- Spheroids from mergers have the observed size-mass relation and lie in the observed Fundamental Plane.
- New self-consistent semi-analytic galaxy formation models based on physical scaling from numerical simulations and calibrated against empirical constraints now enable us to predict and interpret the relationship between galaxies, BH, and AGN across cosmic history.
- Such models accurately predict number counts and luminosity functions in all spectral bands and all redshifts except for sub-mm galaxies.
- The predicted range of EBLs is consistent with the best estimates of EBL evolution inferred from observations.