Astronomy 233 Spring 2011 Physical Cosmology

> Week 9 Galaxies & Inflation

Joel Primack University of California, Santa Cruz

Astro 233 - Spring 2011 Physical Cosmology

Week

Topics

- 1 Introduction
- 2 General Relativistic Cosmology
- 3 Big Bang Nucleosynthesis
- 4 Recombination, Dark Matter (DM)
- 5 DM Detection, Cosmic Microwave Background
- 6 Structure Formation
- 7 (Reminder: no lectures May 10 & 12)
- 8 Galaxy Formation
- 9 Galaxies; Cosmic Inflation and Before
- 10 After Inflation: Baryogenesis, Strings, ...
- 11 Student Presentations of Term Projects

small scale issues

Cusps

The triaxial nature of dark matter halos plus observational biases suggest that observed velocity structure of LSB and dSpiral galaxies may be consistent with cuspy Λ CDM halos. Baryonic physics may soften the central cusp.

Angular momentum

ACDM simulations are increasingly able to form realistic spiral galaxies, as resolution improves and feedback becomes more realistic.

Satellites

The discovery of many faint Local Group dwarf galaxies is consistent with ΛCDM predictions. Reionization, lensing, satellites, and Ly α forest data imply that WDM must be Tepid or Cooler.

CHALLENGES TO CDM

• ANGULAR MOMENTUM ISSUES

Catastrophic loss of angular momentum due to overcooling in hydrodynamic simulations (Navarro, Steinmetz). Spiral galaxies would be hard to form if ordinary matter has the same specific angular momentum distribution as dark matter (Bullock). How do the disk baryons get the right angular momentum?

Mergers give halos angular momentum – too little for halos that host disks, too much for halos that host spheroids? Role of AGN and other energy inputs? Role of cold inflows (Birnboim & Dekel; Keres+...)?

Can simulated disks agree with observed Tully-Fisher relation and Luminosity Function at all redshifts? Recent simulations (Governato+; Guedes+) are encouraging.

The Spin Catastrophe

Navarro & Steinmetz et al.

observations

simulations

The spin catastrophe



Steinmetz, Navarro, et al.

Over-cooling -> spin catastrophe

Maller & Dekel 02



FORMING REALISTIC LATE-TYPE SPIRALS IN A ΛCDM UNIVERSE: THE ERIS SIMULATION JAVIERA GUEDES¹, SIMONE CALLEGARI², PIERO MADAU¹, & LUCIO MAYER²

Simulations of the formation of late-type spiral galaxies in a cold dark matter (Λ CDM) universe have traditionally failed to yield realistic candidates. Here we report a new cosmological N-body/smooth particle hydrodynamic (SPH) simulation of extreme dynamic range in which a close analog of a Milky Way disk galaxy arises naturally. Termed "Eris", the simulation follows the assembly of a galaxy halo of mass $M_{\rm vir} = 7.9 \times 10^{11} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ with a total of N = 18.6 million particles (gas + dark matter + stars) within the final virial radius, and a force resolution of 120 pc. It includes radiative cooling, heating from a cosmic UV field and supernova explosions (blastwave feedback), a star formation recipe based on a high gas density threshold ($n_{\rm SF} = 5$ atoms cm⁻³ rather than the canonical $n_{\rm SF} = 0.1$ atoms cm⁻³), and neglects any feedback from an active galactic nucleus. Artificial images are generated to correctly compare simulations with observations. At the present epoch, the simulated galaxy has an extended rotationally-supported disk with a radial scale length $R_d = 2.5$ kpc, a gently falling rotation curve with circular velocity at 2.2 disk scale lengths of $V_{2,2} = 214 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, an *i*-band bulgeto-disk ratio B/D = 0.35, and a baryonic mass fraction within the virial radius that is 30% below the cosmic value. The disk is thin, is forming stars in the region of the Σ_{SFR} - Σ_{HI} plane occupied by spiral galaxies, and falls on the photometric Tully-Fisher and the stellar mass-halo virial mass relations. Hot $(T > 3 \times 10^5 \text{ K})$, X-ray luminous halo gas makes only 26% of the universal baryon fraction and follows a "flattened" density profile $\propto r^{-1.13}$ out to r = 100 kpc. Eris appears then to be the first cosmological hydrodynamic simulation in which the galaxy structural properties, the mass budget in the various components, and the scaling relations between mass and luminosity are all consistent with a host of observational constraints. A twin simulation with a low star formation density threshold results in a galaxy with a more massive bulge and a much steeper rotation curve, as in previously published work. A high star formation threshold is therefore key in obtaining realistic late-type galaxies, as it enables the development of an inhomogeneous interstellar medium where star formation and heating by supernovae occur in a clustered fashion. The resulting outflows at high redshifts reduce the baryonic content of galaxies and preferentially remove low angular momentum gas, decreasing the mass of the bulge component.

The Eris N-body/SPH simulation of a massive late-type spiral galaxy in a WMAP3 cosmology (Guedes, Callegari, Madau, & Mayer 2011). The simulation was performed with the GASOLINE code on NASA's Pleiades supercomputer and used 1.5 million cpu hours.

$$\label{eq:Mvir} \begin{split} M_{vir} = 7.9 \ x \ 10^{11} \ M_{\odot} \\ N_{DM} + N_{gas} + N_{star} = 7M + 3M + 8.6M \ within \ final \ r_{vir} \\ force \ resolution = 120 \ pc \end{split}$$

RESEARCH FUNDED BY NASA, NSF, AND SNF



Music: To See the World in a Grain of Sand by Nancy Abrams

FORMING REALISTIC LATE-TYPE SPIRALS IN A ΛCDM UNIVERSE: THE ERIS SIMULATION JAVIERA GUEDES¹, SIMONE CALLEGARI², PIERO MADAU¹, & LUCIO MAYER²



The rotation curve of the simulated Milky Way-sized galaxy (\Eris") at z = 0. The figure shows the contributions to the circular velocity of the various mass components: dark matter (long-dashed curve), stars (short-dashed curve), gas (dot-short dashed curve), and total (solid curve). The data points show two realizations of the rotation curve of the Milky Way from observations of blue horizontal-branch halo stars in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (Xue et al. 2008), and have been set slightly from each other in radius for clarity.



Left panel: The optical/UV stellar properties of Eris at z = 0. The images, created with the radiative transfer code Sunrise (Jonsson 2006), show an i, V, and FUV stellar composite of the simulated galaxy seen face-on and edge-on. A Kroupa IMF was assumed. Right panel: Projected face-on and edge-on surface density maps of Eris's neutral gas at z = 0. The color bar shows the neutral gas fraction.



hot vs. cold flows

- \bullet when $r_{cool}{<}r_{\rm ff}$, gas is shock heated to virial temperature then cools in a "cooling flow"
- \bullet when $r_{cool}{>}r_{\rm ff}$ gas never shock heats, "falls in cold"
- halos with primarily cold vs. hot flows separated by a critical mass of few x 10^{11} - 10^{12} M_{sun} (e.g. Birnboim & Dekel 2003; Keres et al. 2004)
- heating by radio jets may only be effective when a quasi-static hot gas halo is present (i.e. in large mass halos; Cattaneo et al. 2006)





Kravtsov et al.





More realistic model of halo-cooling boundary



More realistic model of halo-cooling boundary



Star formation rate in halos



Typical galaxy trajectories

naturally explains observed "downsizing"

predicts "upsizing" at high redshifts

basic trends in galaxy formation

- massive halos host more massive galaxies (they spend more time rapidly forming stars)
- massive halos host older galaxies (they start forming stars earlier)
- massive halos host higher surface brightness galaxies (they formed more stars when the universe was denser)
- Iow mass galaxies always form galaxies slowly

Risa Wechsler

What does this imply about the physics?



Model implies that star formation slows for masses greater than M~1e12 halos (roughly the scale where galaxy bimodality sets in) today

Risa Wechsler

 M_{star}/M_{h}

STELLAR MASS – HALO MASS RELATION



Comparison of best-fit model of Behroozi, Conroy, Wechsler (2010) at z = 0.1 to previously published results.

STELLAR MASS – HALO MASS RELATION EVOLUTION



Behroozi, Conroy, Wechsler (2010)

Theories for the upper halo star-formation boundary

2



 M_{crit} is the halo mass at the UPPER edge of the star-formation band, roughly $10^{12} M_{\pi}$.

Merging galaxies trigger BH growth. AGN feedback drives out galaxy gas (Hopkins et al 2006).

T = 0.21 Gyr	T + 0.32 Cyr	T = 0.39 Gyr	T = 3.50 Gyr
T - 8.57 Cyr	T = 0.68 Gµ	T = 0.75 Gyr	T = 0.05 Gp
T - 894 Gyr	T - 1.03 Gyr	T - 1.11 Gy	T-121 Gr
T = 1.30 Gyr	T = 139 Gyr	T=148QH	T = 156 Cyr
T = 1.66 Gyr	T = 1.75 Gyr	T = 1.84 Gyr	T = 1.93 Gyr

T = 0 Myr





10 kpc/h

Hydrodynamic simulations of galaxy mergers including black

- self-regulated BH growth, reproducing M_{BH} - σ relation (di Matteo et al. 2004)
- AGN-driven wind removes residual cold gas at the end of the merger, leading to lower SFR and redder colors in the spheroidal remnant (Springel et al. 2004)

1.4 Gyr

Time = 1.1 Gyr



(c) Interaction/"Merger"



- now within one halo, galaxies interact & lose angular momentum
- SFR starts to increase
- stellar winds dominate feedback
- rarely excite QSOs (only special orbits)

(b) "Small Group"



- halo accretes similar-mass companion(s)
- can occur over a wide mass range
- Mialo still similar to before: dynamical friction merges the subhalos efficiently

(a) Isolated Disk



- halo & disk grow, most stars formed
- secular growth builds bars & pseudobulges
- "Seyfert" fueling (AGN with Me>-23)
- cannot redden to the red sequence

(d) Coalescence/(U)LIRG



- galaxies coalesce: violent relaxation in core
 gas inflows to center:
- starburst & buried (X-ray) AGN - starburst dominates luminosity/feedback,
- but, total stellar mass formed is small

(e) "Blowout"



- BH grows rapidly: briefly dominates luminosity/feedback
- remaining dust/gas expelled
- get reddened (but not Type II) QSO: recent/ongoing SF in host high Eddington ratios merger signatures still visible

(f) Quasar



- dust removed: now a "traditional" QSO
- host morphology difficult to observe: tidal features fade rapidly
- characteristically blue/young spheroid

(g) Decay/K+A



NGC 7252

M59

 QSO luminosity fades rapidly

 tidal features visible only with very deep observations
 remnant reddens rapidly (E+A/K+A)
 "hot halo" from feedback

 sets up quasi-static cooling

(h) "Dead" Elliptical



- star formation terminated
 large BH/spheroid efficient feedback
- halo grows to "large group" scales:
- growth by "dry" mergers
- 1000 100 [Mo yr-1] 10 SFR 0.1 C log in Luss 10 -2 -10 Time (Relative to Merger) [Gyr] Hopkins et al. 2008 ApJS

Effect of "Radio Mode" AGN heating (needed to keep red galaxies red)



Figure 8. Galaxy luminosity functions in the K (left) and b_J (right) photometric bands, plotted with and without 'radio mode' feedback (solid and long dashed lines respectively – see Section 3.4). Symbols indicate observational results as listed in each panel. As can be seen, the inclusion of AGN heating produces a good fit to the data in both colours. Without this heating source our model overpredicts the luminosities of massive galaxies by about two magnitudes and fails to reproduce the sharp bright end cut-offs in the observed luminosity functions.

Croton et al. 2006



Color Magnitude Diagram

With AGN "radio mode" heating – brightest galaxies are red, as observed

Without heating – brightest galaxies are blue

Croton et al. 2006

Millennium Simulation



Galaxy type correlated with large scale structure



elliptical elliptical bulge+disk disk

Semi-Analytic Modeling

Kauffmann et al.

Elliptical galaxies in clusters in the local universe



ACDM CR : E and SO galaxies Credits : Mathis, Lemson, Springel, Kauffmann, White and Dekel.

Formation of galaxies in a cluster



z=1

z=0



Why AGN Feedback Can Make Massive Galaxies Red/Dead

- Need mechanism to
 - quench star formation in massive galaxies
 - stop cooling in clusters
- SN feedback inadequate: not enough energy, little star formation in red galaxies
- BH mass closely connected with host galaxy's spheroid mass: M_{BH} ~ 10⁻³ M_{stellar spheroid}
- Bigger $BH \Rightarrow$ more energy

 $(L_{max} \sim L_{Edd} \sim M_{BH})$

Magorrian et al. 1998; Gebhardt et al. 2000, Ferrarese & Merritt 2000



The challenge of simulating BH growth and AGN FB in a cosmological context

Aillennium Run

10 kpc

- dynamic range:
 - Gpc (luminous QSO)
 - -few 100 Mpc (LSS)
 - 10's of kpc (ICM, jets)
 - -sub-kpc (star formation, stellar FB)
 - few 100 pc (nuclear gas inflows, starbursts, AGN feeding, winds)
 - pc & sub-pc (accretion disk, BH mergers, etc)
- poorly understood physics (Bfields, conduction, cosmic ray pressure, turbulence, feeding problem, ...)

AGN feedback 1: bright mode

- optical/X-ray luminous AGN/QSO, produced during periods of efficient feeding (mergers?)
- high accretion rates (0.1-1 L_{Edd}), fueled by cold gas via thin accretion disk --> BH grows rapidly
- rare-->duty cycle short
- thermal coupling of AGN energy with ISM is probably fairly weak (<5%)





Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist 2005

Color-Magnitude Diagram of EGS X-ray selected AGN



Rest-frame U–B colour is plotted against the B–band absolute magnitude for DEEP2 comparison galaxies (small blue dots) and X–ray sources (filled red circles) in the EGS in the range 0.7 < z < 1.4. Squares around the symbols indicate hard X–ray sources, and more luminous systems ($L_X > 10^{43}$ erg s⁻¹) are plotted with larger symbols. The dashed line separates red and blue galaxies, and the dotted lines show the DEEP2 completeness limits at z=1.0 and z=1.4. (Nandra et al., ApJ Letters, 2007.)

Morphological distribution of EGS X-ray selected AGN



The highest fraction of EGS galaxies hosting AGN are early-types, not mergers. This suggests that the AGN activity is delayed, rather than occurring mainly during and immediately following mergers as the Hopkins et al. simulations predicted. (Christy Pierce et al., ApJ Letters, May 2007).



FRI

AGN feedback 2: Radio Mode

- some massive galaxies are 'radio loud'
- radio activity believed to be associated with BH's in 'low accretion state' (low Eddington ratio, <10⁻³)
- jets often associated with cavities visible in X-ray images
- coupling of jet energy with hot gas very efficient





NEW Self-Consistent Model for the Co-Evolution of Galaxies, Black Holes, and AGN

- Top-level halos start with a ~100 M_{sun} seed BH
- Mergers trigger bursts of star formation and accretion onto BH; efficiency and timescale parameterized based on hydrodynamical merger simulations (μ , B/T, V_c, f_g, z; Cox et al., Robertson et al.)
- BH accrete at Eddington rate until they reach 'critical mass', then enter 'blowout' (power-law decline) phase

 $dm_{acc}/dt = \dot{m_{Edd}}/[1+(t/t_Q)^{\beta}]$

- Energy released by accretion drives a wind
- BH merge when their galaxies merge; mass is conserved

Somerville, Hopkins, Cox, et al. 2008 MN Somerville, Gilmore, Primack, & Dominguez 2011 MN

quasi-hydrostatic yes hot gas halo?

gas continues to cool forms a new disk

radio jets form & begin to heat hot gas, offset cooling flow 10 kpc

in the absence of new fuel, stars evolve passively... accretion onto BH shuts off

galaxies & BH continue to grow via wet, moist & dry mergers...

no

cooling and accretion resumes

Predicted M_{BH} - M_{bulge} relationship

in Somerville+08 model, arises from 'bright mode' feedback



matches slope & scatter of observed relation

large symbols: Haering & Rix data green: H&R fit + scatter intrinsic scatter: 0.3 dex

cyan: predicted median, 10th, & 90th percentile predicted scatter: ~0.15 dex

Somerville et al. 2008

AGN Heating Leads to Galaxy Mass Functions at z~0 in Agreement with Observations



Somerville et al. 2008

First SAM galaxy results with Bolshoi - Rachel Somerville



Local Luminosity Functions



Somerville et al. 2008

Some Results from our Semi-Analytic Models Evolving Luminosity Functions B-band K-band



Somerville, Gilmore, Primack, & Dominguez (2011)

Some Results from our Semi-Analytic Models

Number Counts in UV, b, v, i, and z Bands

3.6, 8, 24 and 24, 70, 160, & 850 µm Bands



Somerville, Gilmore, Primack, & Dominguez (2011)

The one failure is at 850 µm

Model produces enough massive galaxies at high redshift



Stellar Mass Function Evolution



data from Borch et al. (COMBO-17); Drory et al. (MUNICS, GOODS, FDF) Somerville et al. in prep A Physical Model for Predicting the Properties of Spheroidal Remnants of Binary Mergers of Gas Rich Disk Galaxies

We might expect that a more energetic encounter will cause increased tidal stripping and puff up the remnant.

NO! For our simulations, more energetic encounters create more compact remnants.

Why? Dissipative effects cause more energetic encounters to result in smaller remnants. The greater the impulse, the more the gas is disturbed, therefore the more it can radiate and form stars.

A number of physical mechanisms conspire to make this so (e.g., greater tidal effects, lower angular momentum, and more gas disk overlap).

Matt Covington, Cox, Dekel, & Primack MNRAS 2008



True σ^{*}

Somerville+08 SAM + Mergers Predict Observed Size-Mass





Faber-Jackson relations for the remnants in the S08 SAM, binned by redshift. Model predicts little F-J evolution.



Red line is the observed relation at low redshift (Gallazzi et al., 2006).

Fundamental Plane plotted as M_{*}vs. M_{dyn} for the remnants in the S08 SAM, binned by redshift. Model reproduces observed tilt of the Fundamental Plane.







Gilmore, Somerville, Primack, & Dominguez (2011)

Conclusions

- High resolution DM simulations show halo substructure. New hydrodynamic simulations are increasingly able to explain galaxy formation. At z>2, even massive halos have cold streams bringing in gas that quickly forms stars. At z<2 this only happens for $M_{halo} < 10^{12}$.
- Spheroids from mergers have the observed size-mass relation and lie in the observed Fundamental Plane.
- New self-consistent semi-analytic galaxy formation models based on physical scaling from numerical simulations and calibrated against empirical constraints now enable us to predict and interpret the relationship between galaxies, BH, and AGN across cosmic history.
- Such models accurately predict number counts and luminosity functions in all spectral bands and all redshifts except for submm galaxies.
- The predicted range of EBLs is consistent with the best estimates of EBL evolution inferred from observations.

COSMOLOGY: Ripe Questions Now Lots of great research still to be done!

Nature of Dark Matter - Λ CDM $n_{halos}(V_{max},z)$, clustering vs. observations Nature of Dark Energy - by SN1a, BAO, structure formation by grlensing, ... How Galaxies Form and Evolve

- Early galaxies and reionization: pop III?, escape fraction, upsizing
- Mechanisms of early SF and AGN: gas-rich mergers vs. cold inflows
- What quenches SF: AGN, shock heating for $M_{halo} > 10^{12} M_{sun}$, morphology
- Evolution of galaxy morphology: need new morphology measures
- Evolution of galaxy kinematics and metallicity (need spectra)
- Extragalactic Background Light (EBL): measure, constrain with γ -rays

Theoretical Approaches

- Simulations: dissipationless, hydrodynamic
- Mock catalogs, Sub-Halo Abundance Matching ("SHAM")
- Semi-Analytic Models (SAMs) constrained by simulations & observations
- Toy Models to clarify key astrophysical processes