

Moments of the Hubbard and t - J models

B Sriram Shastry....

Physics Department, University of California, Santa Cruz, Ca 95064

(Dated: July 22, 2013)

For Hubbard model, write $H = H - \mu \hat{N}$ the Grand canonical Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{k\sigma} \xi_k c_\sigma^\dagger(k) c_\sigma(k) + \frac{U}{N_s} \sum_{k,p,Q} c_\uparrow^\dagger(k+Q) c_\uparrow(k) c_\downarrow^\dagger(p-Q) c_\downarrow(p),$$

with $\xi(k) = \varepsilon_k - \mu$, and the time dependent operators

$$c_\sigma(k, \tau) = e^{\tau H} c_\sigma(k) e^{-\tau H},$$

so that the Greens function is

$$G(k, \tau) = -\theta(\tau) Tr e^{-\beta H} c_\sigma(k, \tau) c_\sigma^\dagger(k) + \theta(-\tau) Tr e^{-\beta H} c_\sigma^\dagger(k) c_\sigma(k, \tau). \quad (1)$$

The Kubo Martin Schwinger Matsubara (KMSM) boundary condition reads with $-\beta \leq \tau \leq 0$ and $0 \leq \tau + \beta$ as

$$\boxed{G(k, \tau) = -G(k, \tau + \beta)}, \quad (2)$$

and using this we write the Fourier series for G as

$$G(k, i\omega_n) = \int_0^\beta d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} G(k, \tau) \quad (3)$$

where $\omega_n = \pi(2n+1)k_B T$ is the odd Matsubara frequency, and the inverse transform

$$G(k, \tau) = k_B T \sum_{\omega_n} e^{-i\omega_n \tau} G(k, i\omega_n). \quad (4)$$

We now study Eq 3 for large ω_n , integrating by parts

$$G(k, i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{i\omega_n} [e^{i\omega_n \tau} G(k, \tau)]_{0^+}^{\beta^-} - \frac{1}{i\omega_n} \int_0^\beta d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} \partial_\tau G(k, \tau), \quad (5)$$

and using the KMSM condition Eq 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(k, i\omega_n) &= \frac{1}{i\omega_n} [G(k, 0^-) - G(k, 0^+)] - \frac{1}{i\omega_n} \int_0^\beta d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} \partial_\tau G(k, \tau), \\ &= \frac{1}{i\omega_n} \langle \{c_\sigma(k), c_\sigma^\dagger(k)\} \rangle - \frac{1}{i\omega_n} \int_0^\beta d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} \partial_\tau G(k, \tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{i\omega_n} - \frac{1}{i\omega_n} \int_0^\beta d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} \partial_\tau G(k, \tau). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We used the standard anticommutator here. We next define

$$G^{(p)}(k, \tau) = \frac{d^p}{d\tau^p} G(k, \tau),$$

and

$$c_\sigma^{(p)}(k, \tau) = \frac{d^p}{d\tau^p} c_\sigma(k, \tau) = [K, [K, \dots [K, c_\sigma(k)] \dots]],$$

and hence by repeating the trick, we obtain an iterative equation

$$G^{(p)}(k, i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{i\omega_n} \langle \{c_\sigma^{(p)}(k), c_\sigma^\dagger(k)\} \rangle - \frac{1}{i\omega_n} \int_0^\beta d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} G^{(p+1)}(k, \tau). \quad (7)$$

Therefore we obtain a high frequency expansion

$$G(k, i\omega_n) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{i\omega_n}\right)^{p+1} \mu_G^{(p)}(k),$$

$$\mu_G^{(p)}(k) \equiv (-1)^p \langle \{c_\sigma^{(p)}(k), c_\sigma^\dagger(k)\} \rangle \quad (8)$$

this is also called the moment expansion.

Next we discuss the spectral representation

$$G(k, i\omega_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \frac{\rho_G(k, \nu)}{i\omega_n - \nu} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\rho_G(k, \nu) = \sum_{n,m} (p_n + p_m) \langle n | c_\sigma(k) | m \rangle \langle m | c_\sigma^\dagger(k) | n \rangle \delta(\nu - \varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_n).$$

(9)

We may expand this at high frequencies as

$$G(k, i\omega_n) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{i\omega_n}\right)^{p+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \rho_G(k, \nu) \nu^p \quad (10)$$

and conclude that the moments are given by

$$\mu_G^{(p)}(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \rho_G(k, \nu) \nu^p = (-1)^p \langle \{c_\sigma^{(p)}(k), c_\sigma^\dagger(k)\} \rangle \quad (11)$$

For the Hubbard model, the Greens function is also decomposed as

$$(G(k, i\omega_n))^{-1} = i\omega_n - \xi(k) - Un/2 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_\Sigma(k, \nu)}{i\omega_n - \nu} d\nu, \quad (12)$$

and hence we may expand this for high frequencies and thereby find the moments of $\rho_\Sigma(k, \nu)$ in terms of those for $\rho_G(k, \nu)$, as a simple exercise. Calling $a_p(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \rho_G(k, \nu) \nu^p$, we get: (suppressing the k dependence)

$$a_0 = \mu_G^{(2)} - (\mu_G^{(1)})^2$$

$$a_1 = \mu_G^{(3)} - 2\mu_G^{(2)}\mu_G^{(1)} + (\mu_G^{(1)})^3 \quad (13)$$

We may then write two alternative expressions

$$(1 - f(\nu)) \rho_G(k, \nu) = \rho_G^>(k, \nu)$$

$$f(\nu) \rho_G(k, \nu) = \rho_G^<(k, \nu). \quad (14)$$

where

$$\rho_G^>(k, \nu) = \sum_{n,m} p_n \langle n | c_\sigma(k) | m \rangle \langle m | c_\sigma^\dagger(k) | n \rangle \delta(\nu - \varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_n), \text{ or}$$

$$\rho_G^<(k, \nu) = \sum_{n,m} p_n \langle n | c_\sigma^\dagger(k) | m \rangle \langle m | c_\sigma(k) | n \rangle \delta(\nu - \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_m)$$

(14)

We note the relation

$$\rho_G^>(k, \nu) = e^{\beta\nu} \rho_G^<(k, \nu). \quad (15)$$

In time domain:

$$G(k, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \rho_G(k, \nu) e^{-\nu\tau} [\theta(-\tau)f(\nu) - \theta(\tau)(1 - f(\nu))] \quad (16)$$

or

$$G(k, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu e^{-\nu\tau} [\theta(-\tau)\rho_G^<(k, \nu) - \theta(\tau)\rho_G^>(k, \nu)]. \quad (17)$$

which is automatically satisfied with the above representation Eq. (17) upon using Eq. (15).

Real time propagators

We will also be interested in

$$i G(k, t) = -\theta(t) \langle e^{iHt} c_\sigma(k) e^{-iHt} c_\sigma^\dagger(k) \rangle + \theta(-t) \langle c_\sigma^\dagger(k) e^{iHt} c_\sigma(k) e^{-iHt} \rangle \quad (18)$$

We see that

$$i G(k, t) = -\theta(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu e^{-i\nu t} \rho_G(k, \nu) \bar{f}(\nu) + \theta(-t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu e^{-i\nu t} \rho_G(k, \nu) f(\nu) \quad (19)$$